

# MATH 350 Linear Algebra

## Homework 9 Solutions

Instructor: Will Chen

December 13, 2022

### Problems

**Book Problems** (2 points each, 20 points total)

- Section 7.1, Problems 2a, 2c, 3b, 5, 12
- Section 7.2, Problem 3a, 3b, 3c, 3d, 3e

**Additional Problems** (10 points total)

A1. Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space. Suppose  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  are subspaces of  $V$  satisfying the following 2 properties

(A) For every  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ ,  $V_j \cap \sum_{i \neq j} V_i = 0$ .<sup>1</sup>

(B)  $V = \sum_{i=1}^k V_i$ . In other words, every  $v \in V$  can be written as a sum  $v = v_1 + \dots + v_k$  with each  $v_i \in V_i$ .

In this case, we say that  $V$  is a direct sum of  $V_1, \dots, V_k$ , and we write

$$V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k V_i = V_1 \oplus V_2 \oplus \dots \oplus V_k$$

Prove the following:

- (a) (2 points) The decomposition  $v = v_1 + \dots + v_k$  is unique. In other words, show that if  $v = v'_1 + \dots + v'_k$  is another decomposition (with  $v'_i \in V_i$ ), then  $v_i = v'_i$  for each  $i$ . Note that the case  $k = 2$  was done in homework 2 (§1.3, Problem 30).
- (b) (2 points) Show that if  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k$  are arbitrary bases for  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  respectively, then  $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2 \cup \dots \cup \beta_k$  is a basis for  $V$ .

**Remark.** In the original (mistaken) formulation of the problem, property (A) required  $V_i \cap V_j = 0$  for every  $i \neq j$ . However, this is not enough to guarantee the statements in (a) and (b). Food for thought: Can you find an example of  $V, V_1, \dots, V_k$  such that the statements in (a) and (b) don't hold? Note that  $k$  will necessarily have to be  $\geq 3$ . More food for thought: how can we see that for a linear operator  $T : V \rightarrow V$ ,  $V$  is a direct sum of generalized eigenspaces? We know that  $K_\lambda \cap K_\mu = 0$  for any  $\lambda \neq \mu$ , but this is not enough to ensure that it is a direct sum. It turns out property (A) is also a consequence of the statement in (a), and the statement in (a) was proven in Theorem 7.3 (in §7.1 of the book). The proof is easy in the  $k = 2$  case (which we illustrated in class), but for  $k \geq 3$ , the proof uses some additional tools which are available in our setting.

A2. For problems A2 and A3, it may help (though not strictly necessary) to take a look at appendix E. Let  $f(t) = (t-3)^2$  and  $g(t) = (t-1)$ . In the language of appendix E,  $f(t), g(t)$  are *relatively prime polynomials*.

---

<sup>1</sup>Here, for an example, if  $k = 4$  and  $j = 2$ , then  $\sum_{i \neq 2} V_i = V_1 + V_3 + V_4 = \text{Span } V_1 \cup V_3 \cup V_4$ .

- (a) (1 point) Find polynomials  $q_1(t), r_1(t)$  with  $\deg r_1(t) < \deg g(t) = 1$  such that

$$f(t) = q_1(t)g(t) + r_1(t)$$

(Hint:  $r_1(t)$  should be a nonzero constant)

- (b) (1 point) Using the relation  $r_1(t) = f(t) - q_1(t)g(t)$ , find polynomials  $c(t), d(t)$  such that

$$c(t)f(t) + d(t)g(t) = 1$$

(Hint: some fractions should appear)

- (c) (1 point) Let  $T : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear operator on a vector space  $V$ . Verify by expanding the polynomials that

$$c(T)f(T) + d(T)g(T) = I$$

where  $I$  denotes the identity operator on  $V$ .

- A3. Let  $f(t) = (t-1)^3$  and  $g(t) = (t-2)(t-3)$ . In the language of appendix E,  $f(t), g(t)$  are *relatively prime polynomials*.

- (a) (1 point) Find polynomials  $q_1(t), r_1(t)$  with  $\deg r_1(t) < \deg g(t) = 2$  such that

$$f(t) = q_1(t)g(t) + r_1(t)$$

(Hint:  $r_1(t)$  should be degree 1)

- (b) (1 point) Find polynomials  $q_2(t), r_2(t)$  with  $\deg r_2(t) < \deg r_1(t) = 1$  such that

$$g(t) = q_2(t)r_1(t) + r_2(t)$$

(Hint:  $r_2(t)$  should be nonzero and degree 0, i.e., it should be a nonzero constant. Some fractions will appear in the coefficients.)

- (c) (1 point) Note that  $r_1(t) = f(t) - q_1(t)g(t)$  (i.e.,  $r_1(t)$  is a “polynomial linear combination of  $f(t), g(t)$ ”). Similarly,  $r_2(t) = g(t) - q_2(t)r_1(t)$ . Use this to find polynomials  $c(t), d(t)$  such that

$$c(t)f(t) + d(t)g(t) = 1$$

## Solutions

- §7.1, 2a Find the Jordan canonical form and a basis consisting of a union of disjoint cycles of generalized eigenvectors for  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Solution.** The characteristic polynomial is  $\chi_A(t) = t^2 - 4t + 4 = (t-2)^2$ , so the only eigenvalue is 2 with multiplicity 2. One computes that  $N(A - 2I) = N\left(\begin{bmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$  has basis  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , so  $A$  has basis consisting of a single cycle of length 2 with initial vector  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ . It follows that the Jordan canonical form is

$$A^J = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$

The end vector of our cycle can be chosen to be any  $v$  satisfying

$$(A - 2I)v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Solving this, we get  $v = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$  where  $-x + y = 1$ . Thus we can take  $v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , so  $\beta = \{\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}\}$  is a basis consisting of cycles. One should check that  $A^J = [A]_\beta$ .

- §7.1, 2c Find the Jordan canonical form and a basis consisting of a union of disjoint cycles of generalized eigenvectors for

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 11 & -4 & -5 \\ 21 & -8 & -11 \\ 3 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

**Solution.** The characteristic polynomial of  $A$  is  $\chi_A(t) = -t^3 + 3t^2 - 4 = (2-t)^2(1+t)$ , so the eigenvalues of  $A$  are 2 with multiplicity 2, and -1 with multiplicity 1. We can compute that

$$E_2 = N(A - 2I) = N \left( \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -4 & -5 \\ 21 & -10 & -11 \\ 3 & -1 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

is spanned by  $(-1, -1, -1)$ , so the dot diagram of  $A_{K_2}$  has a single column of size 2, corresponding to a single cycle of length 2. It follows that the Jordan canonical form of  $A$  is

$$A^J = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

We can take the initial vector of this cycle to be  $b = (-1, -1, -1)$ , so we can take the end vector to be any vector  $x$  satisfying  $(A - 2I)x = b$ . We can check that

$$x = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{satisfies} \quad (A - 2I)x = b$$

so we can take  $\{(-1, -1, -1), (0, -1, 1)\}$  to be the cycle for  $K_2$ . For  $K_{-1} = E_{-1}$ , a basis is  $(1, 3, 0)$ . It follows that  $\beta = \{(-1, -1, -1), (0, -1, 1), (1, 3, 0)\}$  is a basis of cycles for  $A$ . Again one should check that  $[A]_\beta = A^J$ .

§7.1, 3b Let  $V$  be the real vector space spanned by the set of real valued functions  $\gamma = \{1, t, t^2, e^t, te^t\}$ . Let  $T : V \rightarrow V$  be the linear operator defined by  $T(f) = f'$ . Find a Jordan canonical form of  $T$ , and a basis of  $V$  consisting of a union of cycles of generalized eigenvectors.

**Solution.** First, one should check that the set  $\gamma$  is linearly independent. Note that  $T(e^t) = e^t$  and  $T(te^t) = e^t + te^t$ . Then

$$[T]_\gamma = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

This matrix is upper triangular, and so we easily see that the characteristic polynomial is  $\chi_T(x) = (-x)^3(1-x)^2$ , so the eigenvalues are 0 and 1 with multiplicities 3 and 2 respectively. For  $K_1$ , one easily checks that  $\{e^t, te^t\} \subset K_1$  is already a cycle of generalized 1-eigenvectors. For  $K_0$ , one easily checks that  $\dim N(T_{K_0}) = 1$ ,  $\dim N(T_{K_0}^2) = 2$ , and  $\dim N(T_{K_0}^3) = 3$ . It follows that  $K_0$  has a dot diagram with a single column of size 3, hence admits a basis consisting of a single cycle. The end vector of this cycle can be taken to be any vector in  $N(T_{K_0}^3)$  that is not in  $N(T_{K_0}^2)$ . For example, one can take  $t^2$ . In this case, the associated cycle is  $\{2, 2t, t^2\}$ . Thus,

$$\beta = \{2, 2t, t^2, e^t, te^t\}$$

is a basis of  $V$  consisting of cycles of generalized eigenvectors. The associated Jordan canonical form is

$$[T]_\beta = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

§7.1, 5 Let  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_p$  be cycles of generalized eigenvectors of a linear operator  $T$  corresponding to an eigenvalue  $\lambda$ . Prove that if the initial eigenvectors are distinct, then the cycles are disjoint.

*Proof.* You should prove this directly. Do not simply use Theorem 7.6. Let  $\gamma_{i,1}$  denote the initial vector of the cycle  $\gamma_i$ . We will prove the contrapositive, that if the cycles are not disjoint, then the initial vectors cannot all be distinct. Assume the cycles are not disjoint, so that  $\gamma_{i,n} = \gamma_{j,m}$  for some  $i \neq j$ . Then the cycles  $C_{\gamma_{i,n}}, C_{\gamma_{j,m}}$  are equal. Since  $\gamma_{i,1}$  is also the initial vector of the cycle  $C_{\gamma_{i,n}}$ , and similarly  $\gamma_{j,1}$  is the initial vector of  $C_{\gamma_{j,m}}$ , since  $C_{\gamma_{i,n}} = C_{\gamma_{j,m}}$ , it follows that  $\gamma_{i,1} = \gamma_{j,1}$ , so the initial vectors are not distinct.  $\square$

§7.1, 12 Let  $T$  be a linear operator on a finite dimensional vector space  $V$ , and let  $\lambda$  be an eigenvalue of  $T$  with corresponding eigenspace  $E_\lambda$  and generalized eigenspace  $K_\lambda$ . Let  $U$  be an invertible linear operator on  $V$  that commutes with  $T$ , i.e.,  $TU = UT$ . Prove that  $U(E_\lambda) = E_\lambda$  and  $U(K_\lambda) = K_\lambda$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $v \in E_\lambda$ , then  $Tv = \lambda v$ . Then  $TUv = UTv = U\lambda v = \lambda Uv$ , which is exactly to say that  $Uv \in E_\lambda$ .

Similarly, suppose  $v \in K_\lambda$ . Then for some  $p \geq 1$ ,  $(T - \lambda I)^p v = 0$ . Then

$$(T - \lambda I)^p Uv = U(T - \lambda I)^p v = U0 = 0$$

which is exactly to say that  $Uv \in K_\lambda$ .  $\square$

Note that in the first equality in the final equation, we have used the fact that if  $U$  commutes with  $T$ , then  $U$  commutes with any polynomial in  $T$  (e.g., it commutes with  $(T - \lambda I)^p$ . For example, for any scalars  $a, b, c$ ,

$$U(aT^2 + bT + cI) = aUT^2 + bUT + cUI = aT^2U + bTU + cIU = (aT^2 + bT + cI)U.$$

§7.2, 3a Let  $T$  be the linear operator on a finite dimensional vector space  $V$  with Jordan canonical form

$$J = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Find the characteristic polynomial of  $T$ .

**Solution.** Since  $T$  is similar to  $J$ , they have the same characteristic polynomials, so  $\chi_T = \chi_J = (2 - t)^5(3 - t)^2$

§7.2, 3b With notation as in 3a, find the dot diagram corresponding to each eigenvalue of  $T$ .

**Solution.** There are two eigenvalues 2 and 3. Since  $J$  has two Jordan blocks associated to the eigenvalue 2, of sizes 3 and 2, it follows that the  $K_2$  has a basis consisting of two cycles of generalized 2-eigenvectors, of lengths 3 and 2. Thus the dot diagram for the eigenvalue 2 takes the form



For the eigenvalue 3, one should note that there are in fact two Jordan blocks, each of size 1 (not a single Jordan block of size 2!) It follows that the  $K_3$  admits a basis consisting of two cycles of length 1. In other words, it admits an eigenbasis. Thus the dot diagram takes the form



§7.2, 3c For which eigenvalues  $\lambda_i$ , if any, does  $E_{\lambda_i} = K_{\lambda_i}$ .

**Solution.** Since the dot diagram for  $\lambda_i$  is a basis for  $K_{\lambda_i}$ , and the first row is a basis for  $E_{\lambda_i}$ , it follows that  $E_{\lambda_i} = K_{\lambda_i}$  if and only if the corresponding dot diagram consists of a single row. In our case, we find that for the eigenvalue 3,  $E_3 = K_3$ . This can also be computed by checking that  $N(J - 3I) = N((J - 3I)^2)$ .

§7.3, 3d For each eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ , find the smallest positive integer  $p_i$  for which  $K_{\lambda_i} = N((T - \lambda_i I)^{p_i})$ .

**Solution.** Recall that  $(T - \lambda_i I)$  moves every dot in the dot diagram up by one, and sends the top row to 0. Thus, for the eigenvalue  $\lambda_1 = 2$ ,  $p_1 = 3$ , and for  $\lambda_2 = 3$ ,  $p_2 = 1$ .

§7.3, 3e Compute the following numbers for each  $i$ , where  $U_i$  denotes the restriction of  $T - \lambda_i I$  to  $K_{\lambda_i}$ .

- (i)  $\text{rank}(U_i)$
- (ii)  $\text{rank}(U_i^2)$
- (iii)  $\text{nullity}(U_i)$
- (iv)  $\text{nullity}(U_i^2)$

**Solution.** These can easily be read off from noting that the set of vectors associated to the dots in the dot diagram are linearly independent, and that  $U_i$  moves each dot up by one, and sends the top row to 0. For  $\lambda_1 = 2$ , we have  $\text{rank}(U_1) = 3$ ,  $\text{rank}(U_1^2) = 1$ ,  $\text{nullity}(U_1) = 2$ ,  $\text{nullity}(U_1^2) = 4$ . One should keep in mind that  $\text{rank} + \text{nullity} = 5$  in each case. For the eigenvalue  $\lambda_2 = 3$ , we have  $\text{rank}(U_2) = \text{rank}(U_2^2) = 0$ , and  $\text{nullity}(U_2) = \text{nullity}(U_2^2) = 2$ .

A1(a) Prove that the decomposition  $v = v_1 + \cdots + v_k$  is unique.

*Proof.* Suppose  $v = v'_1 + \cdots + v'_k$  is another decomposition, with  $v'_i \in V_i$ , then we have

$$v_1 + v_2 + \cdots + v_k = v'_1 + v'_2 + \cdots + v'_k$$

For any  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , we can rearrange this to get

$$v_i - v'_i = \sum_{j \neq i} v'_j - \sum_{j \neq i} v_j$$

where the sums on the right hand side range over all  $j \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , omitting  $j = i$ . The left hand side clearly lies in  $V_i$ , whereas the right hand side lies in  $\sum_{j \neq i} V_j$ , so property (A) implies that both the left and right hand sides are 0. I.e.,  $v_i = v'_i$ . Since this holds for each  $i$ , this shows that the decomposition is unique. □

A1(b) Show that if  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_k$  are bases for  $V_1, \dots, V_k$  respectively, then the union  $\beta_1 \cup \cdots \cup \beta_k$  is a basis for  $V$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\beta := \beta_1 \cup \cdots \cup \beta_k$ . If  $v \in V$ , then by property (B),  $v$  can be written as a sum  $v_1 + \cdots + v_k$  where  $v_i \in V_i$  for each  $i$ . Writing each  $v_i$  as a linear combination of elements in  $\beta_i$ , it follows that  $v$  is a linear combination of vectors in  $\beta$ . This shows that  $\beta$  spans  $V$ .

To see that  $\beta$  is linearly independent, write  $\beta^1, \beta^2, \dots, \beta^n$  be the elements of  $\beta$ . If

$$a_1 \beta^1 + \cdots + a_n \beta^n = 0$$

then we may group together the terms lying in each  $\beta_j$ . Let  $v_j$  be the sum of the terms consisting of vectors in  $\beta_j$ , so that  $v_j \in V_j$  and  $v = \sum_{j=1}^k v_j$ . By A1(a), we must have that each  $v_j = 0$ , but since each  $\beta_j$  is a basis (and hence linearly independent), we find that all the coefficients of elements of  $\beta_j$  are 0. Since this holds for each  $j$ , it follows that all of the  $a_i$ 's are 0, so  $\beta$  is linearly independent.

We've shown that  $\beta$  spans  $V$  and is linearly independent, so it is a basis for  $V$ . □

A2(a) **Solution.**  $tg(t) = t(t-1) = t^2 - t$  kills the leading term of  $f(t) = (t-3)^2 = t^2 - 6t + 9$ . An additional  $-5(t-1) = -5t + 5$  kills the second term, with remainder 4. Thus, we have

$$f(t) = (t-3)^2 = (t-5)g(t) + 4 = (t-5)(t-1) + 4$$

Thus  $q_1(t) = t-5$ ,  $r_1(t) = 4$ .

A2(b) **Solution.** Since  $4 = r_1(t) = f(t) - q_1(t)g(t) = f(t) - (t-5)g(t)$ , we have

$$1 = \frac{1}{4}f(t) - \frac{t-5}{4}g(t)$$

Thus we can take  $c(t) = \frac{1}{4}$  and  $d(t) = -\frac{t-5}{4}$ .

A2(c) **Solution.** We have

$$c(T)f(T) + d(T)g(T) = \frac{1}{4}I(T-3I)^2 - \frac{1}{4}(T-5I)(T-I) = \frac{1}{4}I(T^2-6T+9I) - \frac{1}{4}(T^2-6T+5I) = \frac{9}{4}I - \frac{5}{4}I = I$$

A3(a) **Solution.** Note that  $f(t) = (t-1)^3 = t^3 - 3t^2 + 3t + 1$ , and  $g(t) = (t-2)(t-3) = t^2 - 5t + 6$ . As before, we want to match up the leading terms. We can fit  $tg(t)$  into  $f(t)$ , with remainder  $f(t) - tg(t) = 2t^2 - 3t + 1$ , which means we can fit another  $2g(t)$ . Thus, we have

$$f(t) = (t+2)g(t) + (7t-13)$$

Thus  $q_1(t) = t+2$  and  $r_1(t) = 7t-13$ .

A3(b) **Solution.** We can fit  $\frac{1}{7}tr_1(t)$  into  $g(t)$ , with remainder

$$g(t) - \frac{1}{7}tr_1(t) = t^2 - 5t + 6 - \left(t^2 - \frac{13}{7}t\right) = -\frac{22}{7}t + 6$$

Since the degree of the remainder is not less than  $r_1(t)$ , we keep going. We can fit another  $-\frac{22}{49}r_1(t)$  into  $g(t)$ , to give a joint remainder of

$$r_2(t) = g(t) - \frac{1}{7}tr_1(t) - \left(-\frac{22}{49}r_1(t)\right) = \frac{8}{49}$$

Thus, we have  $q_2(t) = \frac{1}{7}t - \frac{22}{49}$  and  $r_2(t) = \frac{8}{49}$ .

A3(c) **Solution.** Now  $r_1(t) = f(t) - q_1(t)g(t)$ , and  $r_2(t) = g(t) - q_2(t)r_1(t)$ , so

$$\frac{8}{49} = r_2(t) = g(t) - q_2(t)(f(t) - q_1(t)g(t)) = g(t) - q_2(t)f(t) + q_2(t)q_1(t)g(t) = -q_2(t)f(t) + (1 + q_2(t)q_1(t))g(t)$$

Thus

$$1 = -\frac{49}{8}q_2(t)f(t) + \frac{49 + 49q_2(t)q_1(t)}{8}g(t)$$

In other words, we can take  $c(t) = \frac{-49}{8}q_2(t)$  and  $d(t) = \frac{49 + 49q_2(t)q_1(t)}{8}$ .